## **PUTNAM PRACTICE SET 9**

## PROF. DRAGOS GHIOCA

Problem 1. Find all real numbers a, b, c with the property that the equation

$$x^3 + ax^2 + bx + c = 0$$

has 3 real roots  $r_1, r_2, r_3$  (not necessarily distinct) with the property that the equation

$$x^3 + a^3x^2 + b^3x + c^3 = 0$$

has the roots  $r_1^3, r_2^3, r_3^3$ .

Solution. So, we're asked to find a, b and c such that there exist distinct real numbers  $r_1, r_2$  and  $r_3$  such that

$$-a^{3} = (r_{1} + r_{2} + r_{3})^{3} = r_{1}^{3} + r_{2}^{3} + r_{3}^{3}$$
  
$$b^{3} = (r_{1}r_{2} + r_{1}r_{3} + r_{2}r_{3})^{3} = r_{1}^{3}r_{2}^{3} + r_{1}^{3}r_{3}^{3} + r_{2}^{3}r_{3}^{3}$$
  
$$-c = r_{1}r_{2}r_{3}.$$

The first equation yields

$$= 3(r_1^2r_2 + r_1^2r_3 + r_2^2r_1 + r_2^2r_3 + r_2r_3^2 + r_1r_3^2) + 6r_1r_2r_3$$
  
= 3(r\_1r\_2 + r\_2r\_3 + r\_3r\_1)(r\_1 + r\_2 + r\_3) - 3r\_1r\_2r\_3  
= -3ab + 3c;

0

so, c = ab. Now, the second condition yields similarly

$$\sum_{\substack{\sigma \text{ is a permutation} \\ \text{ of } \{1,2,3\}}} x_{\sigma(1)}^3 x_{\sigma(2)}^2 x_{\sigma(3)} + 2r_1^2 r_2^2 r_3^2 = 0$$

and so,

$$0$$

$$= (r_1^2 r_2 r_3 + r_1 r_2^2 r_3 + r_1 r_2 r_3^2)(r_1 r_2 + r_2 r_3 + r_3 r_1) - r_1^2 r_2^2 r_3^2$$

$$= r_1 r_2 r_3 (r_1 + r_2 + r_3) \cdot b - c^2$$

$$= (-c)(-a)b - c^2$$

$$= c(ab - c).$$

Thus, the condition c = ab is sufficient to guarantee that the solutions of  $x^3 + a^3x^2 + b^3x + c^3 = 0$  are precisely  $r_1^3$ ,  $r_2^3$  and  $r_3^3$ , where  $r_1$ ,  $r_2$  and  $r_3$  are the solutions of  $x^3 + ax^2 + bx + c = 0$ .

Problem 2. Let  $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  be a non-constant function with the property that for each x, y > 0 we have that f(xy) = f(x)f(y). Find two functions  $g, h : \mathbb{R}_+ \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  satisfying the properties:

• 
$$h\left(\frac{x}{y}\right) = h(x)h(y) - g(x)g(y)$$
 for each  $x, y > 0$ ; and

• h(x) + g(x) = f(x) for each x > 0.

Solution. We note that  $f(x \cdot 1) = f(x) \cdot f(1)$  and so we must have that f(1) = 1. Also, we obtain that f(1/x) = 1/f(x). Now, using y = x in the first relation above, we get

$$h(1) = h(x)^2 - g^2(x)$$

which we then combine with h(x) + g(x) = f(x) in the special case x = 1 and therefore,

$$h(1) = h(1)^2 - g(1)^2 = (h(1) - g(1)) \cdot (h(1) + g(1)) = (h(1) - g(1)) \cdot f(1) = h(1) - g(1),$$

which yields that g(1) = 0 and in turn, h(1) = 1. Thus,

$$1 = h(1) = h(x)^2 - g(x)^2 = (h(x) - g(x)) \cdot (h(x) + g(x)) = (h(x) - g(x)) \cdot f(x)$$

and so, h(x) - g(x) = 1/f(x), while h(x) + g(x) = f(x). In conclusion,

$$h(x) = \frac{f(x)^2 + 1}{2f(x)}$$
 and  $g(x) = \frac{f(x)^2 - 1}{2f(x)}$ 

Using the fact that f(x/y) = f(x)/f(y), we verify that indeed,

$$h\left(\frac{x}{y}\right) = h(x)h(y) - g(x)g(y)$$
 for all x and y.

Problem 3. Let  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $xy - z^2 = 1$ . Prove that there exist nonnegative integers a, b, c, d such that  $x = a^2 + b^2$ ,  $y = c^2 + d^2$  and z = ac + bd.

Solution. First of all, we note the following: if  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{N}$  satisfy  $xy - z^2 = 1$ , then

- (i)  $x \neq y$  and so, without loss of generality, we may assume x < y.
- (ii) With the above convention regarding x < y, we then have either that (x, y, z) = (1, 2, 1) or that x < z < y.

Indeed, if x = y we would have  $x^2 - z^2 = 1$  which has no solution in **positive** integers. Therefore, we must have  $x \neq y$  and so, without loss of generality, we may assume x < y, which proves part (i) above.

Now, for the part (ii) above, we assume that  $(x, y, z) \neq (1, 2, 1)$ , which is equivalent with asking that z > 1. Now, since  $y^2 > xy > z^2$ , then we must have that y > z. If in addition,  $x \ge z$ , then  $y > x \ge z$  and so,

$$xy - z^2 \ge yz - z^2 = z(y - z) \ge z > 1,$$

contradiction. So, indeed y > z > x, which proves part (ii).

We observe that if z = 1 (and so, (x, y) = (1, 2) assuming x < y, as above), then the conclusion of our problem holds trivially with a = 1, b = 0, c = d = 1.

So, from now on, for any solution (x, y, z) of  $xy - z^2 = 1$  we assume that x < z < y.

Now, in order to derive the desired conclusion, we argue by contradiction and therefore assume there exists a triple  $(x_0, y_0, z_0) \in \mathbb{N}^3$  such that

- $x_0y_0 z_0^2 = 1$ ,
- there exist no nonnegative integers  $a_0, b_0, c_0, d_0$  such that  $x_0 = a_0^2 + b_0^2$ ,  $y_0 = c_0^2 + d_0^2$  and  $z_0 = a_0c_0 + b_0d_0$ .

•  $z_0$  is minimal among all such triples.

We claim then that  $(x_0, x_0 + y_0 - 2z_0, z_0 - x_0)$  is another triplet satisfying the first two conditions above and clearly, contradicting the minimality of  $z_0$  above. First of all, since  $x_0, y_0, z_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have that  $z_0 > x_0$  (as above in part (i)) and so,  $x_0, z_0 - x_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ ; furthermore,  $x_0 + y_0 - 2z_0 > 0$  because

$$(x_0 + y_0)^2 \ge 4x_0y_0 > 4z_0^2.$$

Now, regarding the first condition above:

$$\begin{aligned} x_0(x_0 + y_0 - 2z_0) - (z_0 - x_0)^2 \\ &= x_0^2 + x_0 y_0 - 2z_0 x_0 - z_0^2 + 2z_0 x_0 - x_0^2 \\ &= x_0 y_0 - z_0^2 \\ &= 1, \end{aligned}$$

as claimed. As for the second condition above, if there were some nonnegative integers  $a_1,b_1,c_1,d_1$  such that

$$x_0 = a_1^2 + b_1^2$$
  

$$x_0 + y_0 - 2z_0 = c_1^2 + d_1^2$$
  

$$z_0 - x_0 = a_1c_1 + b_1d_1$$

then

$$z_0 = a_1c_1 + b_1d_1 + a_1^2 + b_1^2 = a_1(a_1 + c_1) + b_1(b_1 + d_1)$$

and

$$y_0 = c_1^2 + d_1^2 + a_1^2 + b_1^2 + 2a_1c_1 + 2b_1d_1 = (a_1 + c_1)^2 + (b_1 + d_1)^2,$$

thus delivering the desired contradiction.

Problem 4. Let  $P, Q \in \mathbb{R}[x, y]$  be polynomials satisfying the following properties:

- (A) for each  $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ , the functions  $x \mapsto P(x, y_0)$  and  $x \mapsto Q(x, y_0)$  are strictly increasing;
- (B) for each  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ , the function  $y \mapsto P(x_0, y)$  is strictly increasing, while the function  $y \mapsto Q(x_0, y)$  is strictly decreasing; and
- (C) P(x,0) = Q(x,0) for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  and also, P(0,0) = 0.

Prove the following:

- (1) for each real numbers  $0 \le b \le a$ , there exists a unique pair  $(x_0, y_0)$  of nonnegative real numbers with the property that  $P(x_0, y_0) = a$  and  $Q(x_0, y_0) = b$ .
- (2) if  $0 \le a < b$ , then there exist no nonnegative real numbers  $x_0$  and  $y_0$  such that  $P(x_0, y_0) = a$  and  $Q(x_0, y_0) = b$ .

Solution. For each  $a \ge 0$ , we let  $x_a \in [0, +\infty)$  be the unique real number with the property that  $P(x_a, 0) = a$ . Note that the existence uniqueness of  $x_a$  follows from the following facts:

- the function  $x \mapsto P(x,0)$  is strictly increasing and since P(x,y) is a polynomial, then the function  $x \mapsto P(x,0)$  is a polynomial as well, and therefore,  $\lim_{x\to+\infty} P(x,0) = +\infty$ .
- P(0,0) = 0 and so, the continuous function  $x \mapsto P(x,0)$  must take all possible nonnegative real values as we let x vary in  $[0, +\infty)$ .

## PROF. DRAGOS GHIOCA

From now on, we let a be a fixed nonnegative real number. For each  $x \in [0, x_a]$  we claim that there exists a unique real number, denoted by  $f_a(x)$  (which is thus a well-defined function) such that  $P(x, f_a(x)) = a$ . Indeed, for each given  $\tilde{x} \in [0, x_a]$ , we know that

- the function y → P(x, y) is strictly increasing and since P(x, y) is a polynomial, then the function y → P(x, y) is a polynomial as well, and therefore, lim<sub>y→+∞</sub> P(x, y) = +∞.
- $P(\tilde{x}, 0) \leq P(x_a, 0) = a$  since the function  $x \mapsto P(x, 0)$  is a strictly increasing function.

In particular, using also the choice of  $x_a$ , we have that  $f_a(x_a) = 0$ .

**Claim.** The function  $f_a$  is continuous on  $[0, x_a]$ .

**Proof of Claim.** This follows by proving the following two properties:

(i)  $f_a$  satisfies the Intermediate Value Theorem (IVT) on any subinterval of  $[0, x_a]$ .

(ii)  $f_a$  is strictly decreasing.

We see that  $f_a(\tilde{x}_2) < f_a(\tilde{x}_1)$  whenever  $0 \leq \tilde{x}_1 < \tilde{x}_2 \leq x_a$ , because we have that

$$P\left(\tilde{x}_{2}, f_{a}(\tilde{x}_{2})\right)$$

$$= a$$

$$= P\left(\tilde{x}_{1}, f_{a}(\tilde{x}_{1})\right) \text{ (by the definition of } f_{a})$$

$$P\left(\tilde{x}_{1}, \tilde{x}_{2}, \tilde{x}_{1}, \tilde{x}_{2}, \tilde{x$$

 $< P(\tilde{x}_2, f_a(\tilde{x}_1))$  (since  $x \mapsto P(x, f_a(\tilde{x}_1))$  is strictly increasing)

and finally (once again)  $y \mapsto P(\tilde{x}_2, y)$  is strictly increasing.

Now, in order to see that  $f_a$  satisfies the IVT on each subinterval of  $[0, x_a]$ , we observe that if

$$f_a(\tilde{x}_1) = b_1 > b_2 = f_a(\tilde{x}_2)$$

for some  $0 \leq \tilde{x}_1 < \tilde{x}_2 \leq x_a$  and moreover,  $c \in (b_2, b_1)$ , then we have that

$$P(\tilde{x}_{2}, c)$$

$$> P(\tilde{x}_{2}, b_{2}) \text{ (since } y \mapsto P(\tilde{x}_{2}, y) \text{ is strictly increasing)}$$

$$= P(\tilde{x}_{2}, f_{a}(\tilde{x}_{2}))$$

$$= a$$

$$= P(\tilde{x}_{1}, f_{a}(\tilde{x}_{1}))$$

$$= P(\tilde{x}_{1}, b_{1})$$

$$> P(\tilde{x}_{2}, b_{2}) \text{ (since } x \mapsto P(\tilde{x}_{2}, y) \text{ is strictly increasing)}$$

 $> P(\tilde{x}_1, c) \text{ (since } y \mapsto P(\tilde{x}_1, y) \text{ is strictly increasing.)}$ 

Then, using the fact that  $x \mapsto P(x, c)$  is a continuous function and

$$P\left(\tilde{x_1}, c\right) < a < P\left(\tilde{x_2}, c\right),$$

we conclude (once again by the IVT) the existence of some  $\tilde{x} \in (\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2)$  such that  $f_a(\tilde{x}) = c$ .

Finally, in order to conclude the proof of our claim, we are using the fact that a strictly monotone function g on any interval [c, d] which satisfies the IVT must actually be continuous. The point is that for any  $t \in (c, d)$  (an almost identical argument applies also to the two endpoints of the interval), we have well-defined lateral limits:

$$L_t^- := \lim_{x \to t^-} g(x) \text{ and } L_t^+ := \lim_{x \to t^+} g(x)$$

because g(x) is a strictly monotone function. Now, since g satisfies the IVT (on any subinterval of [c, d]), then we see that

$$L_t^- = g(t) = L_t^+$$

since otherwise the IVT would fail on a small interval centered around t.

In conclusion, our function  $f_a$  is indeed a continuous function, which concludes the proof of the given **Claim**.

Now, going back to our original setting, we let the function  $h : [0, x_a] \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by  $h(x) := Q(x, f_a(x))$ . Because Q is a polynomial, while  $f_a$  is continuous, we conclude that also the function h is continuous. We have

$$\begin{split} h(x_a) &= Q(x_a, f_a(x_a)) \\ &= Q(x_a, 0) \text{ (since } f_a(x_a) = 0 \text{ by our choice of } x_a \text{ and of } f_a) \\ &= P(x_a, 0) \text{ (by our hypothesis)} \\ &= a \\ &\geq 0 \\ &= Q(0, 0) \\ &\geq Q(0, f_a(0)) \text{ (since } f_a(0) \geq 0 \text{ and } y \mapsto Q(0, y) \text{ is decreasing)} \end{split}$$

 $= h_a(0)$  (by definition of the function  $h_a$ ).

So, using again the IVT, for any  $0 \le b \le a$ , there exists some  $\tilde{x} \in [0, x_a]$  such that  $h(\tilde{x}) = b$ , i.e., letting  $\tilde{y} := f_a(\tilde{x})$  we have that

$$Q(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) = b$$
 and  $P(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) = a$ .

Now, we claim that  $\tilde{x}$  is unique with the property that  $h(\tilde{x}) = b$ . Indeed, this claim follows from the fact that Q is strictly increasing in the first variable and strictly decreasing in the second variable, while  $f_a$  is strictly decreasing, and therefore, for any  $0 \leq \tilde{x}_1 < \tilde{x}_2 \leq x_a$ , we have that

$$h(\tilde{x}_1) = Q(\tilde{x}_1, f_a(\tilde{x}_1)) < Q(\tilde{x}_2, f_a(\tilde{x}_1)) < Q(\tilde{x}_2, f_a(\tilde{x}_2)) = h(\tilde{x}_2).$$

Finally, we cannot have any simultaneous solution  $(x_0, y_0)$  in nonnegative real numbers for the equations

$$P(x_0, y_0) = a$$
 and  $Q(x_0, y_0) = b$ 

if a < b since then we would have

$$a = P(x_0, y_0) > P(x_0, 0) = Q(x_0, 0) > Q(x_0, y_0) = b$$

contradiction.